Monitoring Data Record

Project Title: Henderson Western Loop (U-2527) COE Action ID: 199708127					
CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O					
Stream Name: UT to Red Bud Creek DWQ Number: 031494					
City, County and other Location Information: <u>Henderson, Vance County</u>					
(Sta. 40+80 to 47+20)					
Date Construction Completed: Water was turned into stream on 6/13/05. Planting was					
completed on 3/10/06.					
Monitoring Year: (1) of 5					
Ecoregion: 8 digit HUC unit 03010102					
USGS Quad Name and Coordinates:					
Rosgen Classification: Length of Project: 2,592' Urban or Rural: Urban Watershed Size:					
Manitaring DATA collected by: M. Green, P. Johnson, P. Doele, Date: 6/21/06					
Monitoring DATA collected by: M. Green, B. Johnson, B. Poole Date: 6/21/06 Applicant Information:					
Name NCDOT Data dai da Garcina mandal Hait					
Address: 1425 Rock Quarry Road Raleigh, NC 27610					
Telephone Number: (919) 861-3772 Email address: mlgreen@dot.state.nc.us					
Eman address. migreen@dot.state.ne.ds					
Consultant Information:					
Name:					
Address:					
Address: Email address:					
Project Status: Complete					
21 of the state of					
Monitoring Level required by COE and DWQ (404 permit/ 401 Cert.): Level (1)2 3					
Monitoring Level 1 requires completion of Section 1, Section 2 and Section 3					
Permit States: NCDOT shall perform the following components of Level I monitoring twice					
each year for the 5 year monitoring period (summer and winter): Reference photos, plant					
survival, and visual inspection of channel stability. If less than two bankfull events occur during					
the first 5 years, NCDOT shall continue monitoring until the second bankfull event is					
documented. The bankfull events must occur during separate monitoring years. In the event that					
the required bankfull events do not occur during the 5 year monitoring period, the USACE, in					
consultation with resource agencies, may determine that further monitoring is not required.					
G. A. A. NAOTO DEPENDING STEES					
Section 1. PHOTO REFERENCE SITES (Monitoring at all levels must complete this section)					
(Monitoring at all tevels must complete this section)					
Total number of reference photo locations at this site:					
13 photo point locations, 2 photos at each					
Dates reference photos have been taken at this site: 6/21/06					
Dutes reference photos have been taken at this site. 6/21/00					
Individual from whom additional photos can be obtained (name, address, phone):					
r,,,,,					
Other Information relative to site photo reference:					
If required to complete Level 3 monitoring <u>only</u> stop here; otherwise, complete section 2.					

Attach plan sheet indicating reference photos. Identify specific problem areas (missing, stressed, damaged or dead plantings): Estimated causes, and proposed/required remedial action: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: _Stream is highly vegetated with herbaceous vegetation, which includes, lespedeza, cattails, Juncus sp., sedge, fennel, and various grasses. Hardwood vegetation included silky dogwood, black willow, sycamore, green ash, tulip poplar, willow oak, white oak, alder, and sweetgum. Planting was completed on the stream in March 2006.

Section 2. PLANT SURVIVAL

If required to complete Level 1 and Level 2 monitoring only stop here; otherwise, complete section 3.

Section 3. CHANNEL STABILITY

Visual Inspection: The entire stream project as well as each in-stream structure and bank stabilization/revetment structure must be evaluated and problems addressed.

Report on the visual inspection of channel stability. <u>Physical measurements of channel stability/morphology will not be required.</u> Include a discussion of any deviations from as-built and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations and whether they are indicative of a stabilizing or destabilizing situation.

The stream is highly stabilized for the first year of monitoring. There is some minor bank erosion at approx. Sta.
40+90 but this area is stabilized with heavy herbaceous vegetation. According to the plan sheets it looks like the old
channel was located in this area. The crossvane located at approx. Sta. 41+00 has water piping under the structure.
The additional photo located at the end of the photo sequence shows this crossvane is still intact and no immediate
corrective action is necessary.

Date	Sta. 40+90	Sta. 41+00	Station	Station	Station
Inspected			Number	Number	Number
Structure		Crossvane			
Type					
Is water		Water is			
piping		piping under			
through or		crossvane			
around					
structure?					
Head cut or					
down cut					
present?					
Bank or scour	Minor Bank				
erosion	Erosion				
present?					
Other					
problems					
noted?					

NOTE: Attach separate narrative sheets to each monitoring report describing/discussing the overall monitoring results. Include the identification of specific problem areas/channel failures, estimated cause and proposed/required remedial action. This should include a brief discussion of any parameter that has changed significantly from as-built.



Photo Point #1 (Upstream)



Photo Point #1 (Downstream)



Photo Point #2 (Upstream)

Photo Point #2 (Downstream) Unavailable



Photo Point #3 (Upstream)



Photo Point #3 (Downstream)



Photo Point #4 (Upstream)



Photo Point #4 (Downstream)



Photo Point #5 (Upstream)



Photo Point #5 (Downstream)



Photo Point #6 (Upstream)



Photo Point #6 (Downstream)



Photo Point #7 (Upstream)



Photo Point #7 (Downstream)



Photo Point #8 (Upstream)



Photo Point #8 (Downstream)



Photo Point #9 (Upstream)



Photo Point #9 (Downstream)



Photo Point #10 (Upstream)



Photo Point #10 (Downstream)



Photo Point #11 (Upstream)



Photo Point #11 (Downstream)



Photo Point #12 (Upstream)



Photo Point #12 (Downstream)



Photo Point #13 (Upstream)



Photo Point #13 (Downstream)



Crossvane @ Sta. 41+00